
Public Consultation on the Specific Programme 'Prevention, Preparedness and Consequence Management of Terrorism and other Security related risks' (CIPS) 2007-2013

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

INTRODUCTION

This consultation aims to collect opinions on the functioning of the Specific Programme 'Prevention, Preparedness and Consequence Management of Terrorism and other Security related risks' (CIPS) 2007-2013 in your Country.

The results of the public consultation will be taken into consideration for the preparation of the ex post evaluation report on the CIPS actions for 2007-2013.

The evaluation is an important instrument to inform the European Parliament and the Council, the Member States, the research Community, the general public and other stakeholders about the achievements of the CIPS actions for 2007-2013. It will contribute to improving the implementation of CIPS – type actions.

In order to collect public opinion on the functioning, achievements and impact of the CIPS 2007-2013 actions, this public consultation is addressed to bodies and individuals who benefited from or implemented projects funded by the Programme.

When replying to the questions, please focus on the impact of the activities funded by the CIPS Programme on your personal life, if you are replying as an individual, or professional life if you are replying as a representative of a public body involved in the implementation of the Programme.

In your response, you may be invited to provide additional information or comments: these will be taken into consideration by the Commission services, which aim at constantly improving the approach and the methodology to implement EU policies, and more specifically the Union standards for the management of terrorism and other security related risks.

The public consultation is published in English and its results will be made publicly available through the dedicated consultation page. The replies should be preferably submitted in English, however replies in other languages will be taken into account as well.

For more information on this consultation, please read the background document.

Files

[Background Document PC CIPS.docx](#)

[Glossary CIPS ISEC.docx](#)

IMPORTANT NOTICE ON THE PUBLICATION OF CONTRIBUTIONS

* Contributions received from this survey will be published on the European Commission's website (For further information, please consult the privacy statement). Do you agree with the publication of your contribution?

- ☐ Yes, my contribution may be published under my name (or the name of my organisation);
- ☐ Yes, my contribution may be published but should be kept anonymous (with no mention of the person /organisation);
- ☐ No, I do not want my contribution to be published. (NB. Your contribution will not be published, but it will be used internally within the Commission for statistical and analytical purposes).

Files

[Privacy Statement CIPS.doc](#)

PART I - IDENTIFICATION OF STAKEHOLDERS OR EXPERTS

* You are responding as:

- ☐ A beneficiary of the programme;
- ☐ An independent expert;
- ☐ On behalf of a business/private company;
- ☐ On behalf of a non-profit organisation;
- ☐ On behalf of a public authority;
- ☐ On behalf of an academic/research institution;
- ☐ On behalf of a pan-European interest group;
- ☐ EU citizen;
- ☐ Other.

If you replied 'Other' please specify.

50 character(s) maximum

Please specify your name and the name of the organisation you represent.

100 character(s) maximum

Is your organisation included in the Transparency Register?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

If your organisation is not registered, we invite you to register [here](#). Please notice that it is not compulsory to register to reply to this consultation. Citizens have a right to expect that European institutions interaction with citizens associations, NGOs, businesses, trade unions, think tanks, etc. is transparent and takes place in compliance with the law as well as in due respect of ethical principles, avoiding undue pressure, illegitimate or privileged access to information or to decision makers. The Transparency Register exists to provide citizens with direct and single access to information about who is engaged in activities aiming at influencing the EU decision-making process, which interests are being pursued and what level of resources are invested in these activities. Please help us enhancing transparency by registering.

Please indicate your register ID-number

50 character(s) maximum

Please indicate your contact e-mail address

50 character(s) maximum

* Please indicate your Country of residence or establishment (Please be aware that the use of 'Country' in the following questions refers to your Country of residence/activity. When reference is made to your Country of origin it will be explicitly mentioned).

- ☐ Austria
- ☐ Belgium
- ☐ Bulgaria
- ☐ Croatia
- ☐ Cyprus
- ☐ Czech Republic
- ☐ Denmark
- ☐ Estonia
- ☐ Finland
- ☐ France
- ☐ Germany
- ☐ Greece
- ☐ Hungary
- ☐ Ireland
- ☐ Italy
- ☐ Latvia
- ☐ Lithuania
- ☐ Luxembourg
- ☐ Malta
- ☐ Netherlands
- ☐ Poland
- ☐ Portugal
- ☐ Romania
- ☐ Slovakia
- ☐ Slovenia
- ☐ Spain
- ☐ Sweden
- ☐ United Kingdom
- ☐ Non-EU Country

PART II - QUESTIONS

1. In your Country, do you consider that the implementation of the CIPS programme during 2007-2013 affected positively the work of the public administrations dealing in the field of prevention preparedness and consequence management of terrorism and other security related risks?

- ☐ Completely;
- ☐ Significantly;
- ☐ Partially;
- ☐ To a limited extent;
- ☐ Not at all;
- ☐ Don't know.

If you replied 'Not at all' please specify briefly your reasons.

400 character(s) maximum

2. Based on your experience, to what extent were the following general objectives, as defined by Article 3 of Decision No 2007/124/EC, Euratom, achieved with Programme support in your Country?

2.1) Contribution to support Member States' efforts to prevent, prepare for, and to protect people and critical infrastructure against terrorist attacks and other security related incidents

- ☐ Completely;
- ☐ Significantly;
- ☐ Partially;
- ☐ To a limited extent;
- ☐ Not at all;
- ☐ Don't know.

2.2) Contribution to ensuring protection in the areas such as the crisis management, environment, public health, transport, research and technological development and economic and social cohesion, in the field of terrorism and other security related risks within the area of freedom, security and justice

- ☐ Completely;
- ☐ Significantly;
- ☐ Partially;
- ☐ To a limited extent;
- ☐ Not at all;
- ☐ Don't know.

Please add any comments that you would like to share.

400 character(s) maximum

3. Based on your experience, to what extent were the following specific objectives, as defined by Article 4 of Decision No 2007/124/EC, Euratom, achieved under the CIPS Programme 2007-2013 in your Country?

With regard to prevention and preparedness of risks linked with terrorism and other security related risks the Programme aims at protecting people and critical infrastructure, in particular by:

3.1) Stimulating, promoting, and supporting risk assessments on critical infrastructure, in order to upgrade security:

- ☐ Completely;
- ☐ Significantly;
- ☐ Partially;
- ☐ To a limited extent;
- ☐ Not at all;
- ☐ Don't know.

3.2) Stimulating, promoting, and supporting the development of methodologies for the protection of critical infrastructure, in particular risk assessment methodologies:

- ☐ Completely;
- ☐ Significantly;
- ☐ Partially;
- ☐ To a limited extent;
- ☐ Not at all;
- ☐ Don't know.

3.3) Promoting and supporting shared operational measures to improve security in cross border supply chains, provided that the rules of competition within the internal market are not distorted:

- ☐ Completely;
- ☐ Significantly;
- ☐ Partially;
- ☐ To a limited extent;
- ☐ Not at all;
- ☐ Don't know.

3.4) Promoting and supporting the development of security standards, and an exchange of know-how and experience on protection of people and critical infrastructure:

- ☐ Completely;
- ☐ Significantly;
- ☐ Partially;
- ☐ To a limited extent;
- ☐ Not at all;
- ☐ Don't know.

3.5) Promoting and supporting Community wide coordination and cooperation on protection of critical infrastructure

- ☐ Completely;
- ☐ Significantly;
- ☐ Partially;
- ☐ To a limited extent;
- ☐ Not at all;
- ☐ Don't know.

With regard to consequence management the Programme aims at:

3.6) Stimulating, promoting and supporting exchange of knowhow and experience, in order to establish best practices with the view to coordinate the response measures and to achieve cooperation between various actors of crisis management and security actions

- ☐ Completely;
- ☐ Significantly;
- ☐ Partially;
- ☐ To a limited extent;
- ☐ Not at all;
- ☐ Don't know.

3.7) promoting joint exercises and practical scenarios including security and safety components, in order to enhance coordination and cooperation between relevant actors at the European level

- ☐ Completely;
- ☐ Significantly;
- ☐ Partially;
- ☐ To a limited extent;
- ☐ Not at all;
- ☐ Don't know.

Please add any comments that you would like to share.

600 character(s) maximum

4. Based on your experience, were the actions financed by the CIPS in your Country consistent with the objectives listed in question 2 and/or 3?

- ☐ Significantly;
- ☐ Partially;
- ☐ To a limited extent;
- ☐ Not at all;
- ☐ Don't know.

5. Did your Country intensify its cooperation with other Member States in the field of management of terrorism and other security related risks?

- ☐ Yes;
- ☐ Mostly yes, but with some problems;
- ☐ Generally no, although good practices were exchanged in some cases;
- ☐ No;
- ☐ Don't know.

Please feel free to provide examples of such cooperation.

400 character(s) maximum

6. Did you notice any difference among the Member States in the way they apply the EU policy standards related to management of terrorism and other security related risks?

- ☐ Yes, very large differences;
- ☐ Yes, but the differences are small;
- ☐ No differences;
- ☐ Don't know.

7. In your opinion, did the projects and the activities funded in your Country of residence address the needs related to management of terrorism and other security related risks?

- ☐ Significantly;
- ☐ Partially;
- ☐ To a limited extent;
- ☐ Not at all;
- ☐ Don't know.

8. Were the effects of the CIPS actions achieved in your Country at a reasonable cost in terms of financial and human resources deployed?

- ☐ Benefits greater than the costs;
- ☐ Benefits equal to the costs;
- ☐ Costs greater than the benefits;
- ☐ Don't know.

If you replied 'Costs greater than the benefits' please describe briefly your reasons.

400 character(s) maximum

9. Would you say that co-financed services and procedures will continue to exist without the support of the Programme?

- ☐ Yes;
- ☐ Generally yes, although with some problems;
- ☐ Generally no, although with some initial successes;
- ☐ No;
- ☐ Don't know.

If you did not reply 'Yes' please briefly describe your reasons.

400 character(s) maximum

10. To what extent is the EU funding for CIPS programme coherent with other actions in the same field funded by other EU financial instruments?

- ☐ Very coherent;
- ☐ Coherent;
- ☐ Coherent to a limited extent;
- ☐ Not coherent at all;
- ☐ Don't know.

Please feel free to provide details on your experience.

400 character(s) maximum

11. Is the EU funding for CIPS programme coherent with national actions of the Member States?

- ☐ Very coherent;
- ☐ Coherent;
- ☐ Coherent to a limited extent;
- ☐ Not coherent at all;
- ☐ Don't know.

Please feel free to provide details on your experience.

400 character(s) maximum

Contact

HOME-FUNDS-EVALUATIONS@ec.europa.eu
