

RAN Collection practice template

Name of the practice

Please note that by practice we mean an activity/method/tool that has been used or is in use by professionals and/or community members.

Description

(max. 300 words)

Short description of the aim and working method of the practice. Please note that in this description, it must be clear that there is an explicit connection to preventing and/or countering radicalisation and/or violent extremism. This means that in the aims and/or the activities/methods/tools of the practice, there is a link to preventing and countering radicalisation and/or violent extremism. Practices without this link cannot be included in the RAN Collection.

Aarhus model: Prevention of Radicalisation and Discrimination in Aarhus

This model offers intervention at two levels: General population and at-risk individuals.

General population intervention

1. Raises awareness of professionals and the public.

Achieved via briefings and workshops for professionals and teaching institutions.

2. Entails collaboration with local communities.

Involves respectful and assertive dialogue with mosques, cultural associations and other major players in local communities.

Individual intervention

- 1. First-line staff of the Info-House (Multi-agency Task Force) carry out risk evaluations of individuals and groups.
- 2. Professional staff are counselled on dealing with cases linked to radicalisation.
- 3. Counselling is provided for individuals and families in cases related to radicalisation.
- 4. Mentoring is provided for individuals at risk of radicalisation or involved with violent extremism.
- 5. Exit programmes are set up for individuals involved in violent extremist environments including foreign fighters.





Key themes Please <u>choose</u> 2 key themes most corresponding with the practice.	Multi-agency cooperation Deradicalisation/disengagement
Target audience Please <u>choose</u> a minimum of one target audience most corresponding with the practice.	First responders or practitioners Local Community Organisations / NGOs Youth / Pupils / Students
Geographical scope Please indicate where the practice has been/is implemented (countries, regions, cities).	Mainly the Aarhus municipality, as well as the remaining East Jutland Police District. The Danish government has implemented the Info-House nationwide as the Danish model for early prevention of radicalisation and violent extremism.
Start of the practice Please indicate when (year) the practice was developed and implemented to indicate the maturity of the practice. In case the practice is no longer active, please indicate when it ended.	Starting year: 2007 Preventing radicalisation was developed as a project from 2007 to 2009; from January 2010, it was incorporated as standard practice in the crime prevention coorporation between the East Jutland Police and Aarhus municipality.
Deliverables Please indicate if the practice has led to concrete deliverables, such as (links to) handbooks, training modules, videos.	 Concepts delivered through presentations Workshop for raising awareness in schools and educational institutions — concept programme Life psychology and Significance Quest, kruglanski A. & Bertelsen P. (2020) Book on life psychology and training programmes by Professor Preben Bertelsen, University of Aarhus – see website Risk evaluation concepts – national assessment tool and locally developed risk assessment tools.
Evidence and evaluation Short description on performance measures of the practice, including	The Ministry of Social Affairs reviewed the Aarhus model and deemed it an exemplary practice. The Info-house (a method for coordination and sharing information between police and municipal agencies) and the mentor programme have both been adopted by the Danish government as nationwide practice implemented through the police districts. The method has received positive feedback in RAN group meetings and in international forums.



- qualitative views and quantitative (statistical) data e.g. measure of the success of your project or intervention.
- evaluation and feedback, including surveys and/or anecdotal evidence e.g. have you done either an internal or external evaluation, have you encouraged any feedback from your target group?
- peer review which feedback did the practice receive in the RAN working group and/or study visit where the practice was discussed.

Please elaborate on the outcomes of your monitoring and evaluation efforts.

Evidence collected via assessment forms in the mentoring programme indicates this is an effective method for individual intervention.

Anthropologists' qualitative interviews of foreign fighters and their family members highlight the effectiveness of coordinated multi-agency collaboration in reintegration into society (Mette-Louise Johansen in the anthology 'Fremmedkrigere', Gyldendal 2017).

Sustainability and transferability

(maximum of 200 words)

Short description on the sustainability and transferability of the practice, including e.g. information on the costs of the practice. Please elaborate on which elements are transferrable and how.

The practice is directly transferrable to countries with a highly developed and well-functioning infrastructure. The main challenge is establishing the multi-agency cooperation required for the practice to operate.

In its current form, the practice in ist entirety is harder to transfer to countries lacking a highly developed infrastructure or where there is little basis for law enforcement, social service or NGO coorporation. However, individual methodological elements in the practice will be immediately transferrable, (for instance workshops, life psychology and family network groups).

Presented and discussed in RAN meeting

Please note that to be included in the Collection, the practice is preferably nominated through one of the RAN meetings. Add name of the RAN Working Group/event, date, place and subject of meeting. Name: RAN-POL, RAN-Exit, RAN-LOCAL, RAN-EDU, RAN-YOUTH and the RAN-CoE.

Date: Select date of the meeting.

Place: Enter place of the meeting.

Subject: Enter subject of meeting.

Linked to other EU initiatives or EU funding

(maximum of 100 words)

Not funded by the European Commission



Please indicate how your project was funded, if your practice is linked to other EU initiatives or projects, AND explicitly note if it is (co-) funded by the EU, and if so, by which funds?
Such as Erasmus +, Internal Security Funds (ISF), European Social Fund (ESF), Horizon 2020, etc.

Organisation

(enter maximum of 100 words and select organisation type)

Please briefly describe the organisation behind the practice including the legal status e.g. NGO, governmental, limited company, charity etc.

This is a multi-agency intervention in the form of a collaboration between Aarhus municipality and the East Jutland Police.

External partners are the University of Aarhus, the Ministry of Social Affairs, Ministry of Integration and the Danish Intelligence and Security Service.

As such, it constitutes a local practice in Aarhus municipality and the East Jutland Police district, but it may be considered a government practice, since it is tax funded.

Type of Organisation: Other

Country of origin

Country in which the practice is based.

EU or EEA country: Denmark

or:

Non-EU country: Enter name if non EU country

Contact details

Please provide contact details of who can be contacted within the organisation, with name and email address.

Address: East Jutland Police

Ridderstraede 8000 Aarhus C Denmark

Contact person: Steffen Saigusa Nielsen

Email: SNI023@politi.dk Telephone: +45 29203650

Website: https://antiradikalisering.aarhus.dk

Contact person: Signe Reichenbach

Email: sigre@aarhus.dk Telephone: +45 41858869

Last update text

(year)

2023

